# Memorandum

To: CHAIR AND COMMISSIONERS CTC Meeting: May 25-26, 2005

Reference No.: 2.8a. - REVISED

Action Item

From: CINDY McKIM Prepared by: Terry Abbott

Chief Financial Officer Division Chief
Local Assistance

Ref: REQUEST TO EXTEND THE PERIOD OF PROJECT ALLOCATION PER
RESOLUTION G-03-19, STATE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM
GUIDELINES
WAIVER-05-11

#### **ACTION UPDATE:**

The California Transportation Commission approved all extension requests EXCEPT
Project #17 (Sacramento County) and \$5,000 for the Right of Way component of Project #20
(Sierra County).

#### **ISSUE:**

The California Transportation Commission (Commission) programmed funds in Fiscal Year 2004-05 totaling \$9,239,000 for 20 projects, as shown on the attachment. The funds will not be allocated by the June 30, 2005, deadline. The attachment shows the details of the projects and the explanation of the delays. The project sponsors request extensions, and the regional planning agencies concur.

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

The Department of Transportation's recommendations are shown on the attachment.

#### **BACKGROUND:**

Resolution G-03-19, State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) Guidelines, adopted by the Commission on December 11, 2003, stipulates that the Commission may approve a waiver to the timely use of funds deadline one time only for up to 20 months in accordance with Section 14529.8 of the Government Code.

Attachment

Project #	Applicant County PPNO Project Description	Extension Amount By Component (\$ in thousands)	Number of Months Requested		
		E&P (Environmental & Permits)	Extended Deadline		
		PS&E (Plans, Specifications and Estimates) R/W (Right of Way) CON (Construction)	CT Recommendation		
	Reason for Project Delay:	TOTAL			
1	City of Long Beach	\$0	6 months		
	Los Angeles	\$106 \$0	12/30/2005		
	PPNO: 07-3408	\$	12/30/2003		
	Bikeway and Pedestrian Improvements	\$106	Support – meets STIP guidelines		
	When the original agreement was processed, the first phase of the project included acquisition. The CEQA Notice of Exemption was filed for acquisition only. The delay is due to resubmission of CEQA documentation to include the Phase 1 Bikeway construction. A six-month extension is requested in order to resubmit the amended CEQA documentation.				
2	City of Compton	\$0	20 months		
	Los Angeles	\$0	00/00/0005		
	PPNO: 07-3148	\$0 \$240	02/28/2007		
	Metro Blue Line landscaping		Support – meets STIP guidelines		
	The extension is required due Director and the Grants Mana	e to staff turnover in key positions in the Public Woager.	orks Department, including the Public Works		
3	County of Los Angeles	\$0	6 months		
	Los Angeles	\$0 80	12/20/2005		
	PPNO: 07-3403	\$0 \$1,245	12/30/2005		
	Arroyo Seco Bike Path	\$1,245	Support – meets STIP guidelines		
	The time extension is necessary to complete the project's environmental documentation and additional extensive studies under NEPA. This project requires technical studies to comply with the NEPA process, including National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA), and obtaining permits from the U.S Army Corps of Engineers, California Regional Water Quality Control Board, California Department of Fish and Game, and City of Los Angeles oak tree permit. This project also requires a complete hazardous material/hazardous waste search. FHWA and the Department requested significant increases in the NHPA study scope. After the Department approved the Historical Property Survey Report (HPSR), the study was revised. The HPSR was resubmitted to the Department August 8, 2004; approval was November 2004. The Area of Potential Effects Map, previously signed by the Department and FHWA, was returned for revision and subsequent approval. The Department requested the NEPA Finding of Effect summarizing the study, and Los Angeles County submitted it in March 2005. The Department requires up to 30 days to approve, followed by an additional FHWA 60-day review. The NEPA documents and the NHPA study will be included in the Negative Declaration to comply with the CEQA process. The extension will allow for approval of the study and the Finding of Effect, public review of the Negative Declaration, and final environmental approval.				
4	County of Los Angeles	\$0	12 months		
	Los Angeles	\$33			
	PPNO: 07-3400	\$0 \$0	06/30/2006		
	Install bikeway signs	\$33	Support – meets STIP guidelines		
	The County of Los Angeles intends to request and allocation for design and install the signs for the Commuter Bikeway Signage project within the same program year (FY 2005-06). This extension request will allow the \$33,000 in design to coincide with \$236,000 for the construction/installation phase (programmed in the State Transportation Improvement Program in FY 2005-06). Pursuing this project in FY 2005-06 will also allow the Commuter Bikeway Signage project to reflect information currently being prepared by the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority under their Bikeway Master Plan for the Los Angeles County region.				

Project #	Applicant	Extension Amount	Number of Months Requested	
	County	By Component (\$ in thousands) E&P (Environmental & Permits)	Extended Deadline	
	PPNO	PS&E (Plans, Specifications and Estimates)	Extended Deadmit	
	<b>Project Description</b>	R/W (Right of Way) CON (Construction)	CT Recommendation	
	D	TOTAL		
5	Reason for Project Delay: City of Los Angeles	\$0	20 months	
3	Los Angeles	\$0 \$0	20 months	
		\$0	02/28/2007	
	PPNO: 07-3157	\$932		
	Alvarado Transit Corridor	\$932	Support – meets STIP guidelines	
	On April 24, 2003, the Department reported the project was in compliance with the Section 106 requirements in accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act, and provided notification of the Categorical Exclusion. On December 2, 2003, a Request for Proposal was issued to retain an architect to prepare design and construction drawings. January 2004 through April 2004, architectural proposals were reviewed. A Notice to Proceed was made on September 13, 2004, to Studio One Eleven. The first community meeting was November 3, 2004, with subsequent sessions held during December. The second community meeting was scheduled for March 23, 2005. Project delays are attributed to:  1. Lengthy processing time to get Section 106 compliance approval between October 2001 and April 2003, 2. Unforeseeable design issues raised during proposal evaluation between April 2004 and September 2004, 3. Extraordinary amount of feedback from community which warranted more outreach meetings to include all possible feedback after November 2003 to March 2005, 4. Other unforeseeable issues might occur from additional outreach meetings, causing further delay.			
6		ter than December 2006, taking six to eight mont llocation be extended for another 20 months, to Faction cost of this project.		
Ü	Los Angeles	\$0	20 months	
		\$0	02/28/2007	
	PPNO: 07-3443	\$487	C	
	Transit-oriented streetscape improvements	\$487	Support – meets STIP guidelines	
	Delay due to unforeseen reduction in staffing and substantial staff retirements affecting the performance of ongoing project management. Delays in design consultant solicitation and contract negotiations also occurred. Involvement with neighborhood councils required more time than was expected and resulted in an extraordinary amount of feedback that required additional outreach meetings and staff time. Staffing levels have recently increased to adequately manage the project and execute a contract. The contract is for consultant services to prepare the streetscape plans and initiate discussions with city departments related to criteria and standards for street trees, street and pedestrian lighting, and sidewalk improvement. Meetings will commence in April 2005 to discuss issues, opportunities, and vision for streetscape and transit user amenities.			
7	City of Los Angeles	\$0	12 months	
	Los Angeles	\$0		
	DDNO: 07 2174	\$0 \$105	06/30/2006	
	PPNO: 07-3174 Train station remodel	\$105 <b>\$105</b>	Support – meets STIP guidelines	
	The NEPA environmental clearances have not been secured. Environmental documents for two projects were submitted as one package for the Watts Historical Train Station (WHTS) and the Cultural Crescent Blue Line Entrance and Parking projects. Delays in the review of one project simultaneously delayed the review and approval of the other project. The WHTS is a Historical Cultural Monument and revisions to the historical documents were required. The Department is currently reviewing the WHTS report.			

Project #	Applicant	Extension Amount	Number of Months Requested	
	County	By Component (\$ in thousands)  E&P (Environmental & Permits)	Extended Deadline	
	PPNO	PS&E (Plans, Specifications and Estimates)		
	Project Description	R/W (Right of Way) CON (Construction)	CT Recommendation	
		TOTAL		
	Reason for Project Delay:			
8	San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments	\$0 \$0	20 months	
	Los Angeles	\$0 \$0	01/31/2007	
	C	\$1,645		
	PPNO: 07- <b>3433</b> East Regional Bike Trail	\$1,645	Support – meets STIP guidelines	
	A Letter of Agreement for the F engineering (PE) phase was giv bike path along the R/W. The I rail on the R/W without the plan of the light rail. Discussions we could be safely planned in the F bike path needed to be sought the Discussions occurred in each ci	I bike route planned on Metropolitan Transportar Project was received in March 2002. Authorizativen in March 2003. Cost estimates were develop Pasadena-Blue Line Construction Authority (CAns for the bike route. The CA determined that the reheld with the Pasadena-Blue Line Construction R/W. It was determined that in order to address that was off of the R/W. This was an unexpected ty as to a new Class II alignment. A contract and II bike route. Once the amendment to the project ay.	ion to proceed with the preliminary bed for PE, including the construction of the and design of the light bed increased in tracks would need to be built as part on Authority to determine how a bike route all of the safety concerns, a new route for the land unforeseen delay to the project.	
9	City of Calabasas	\$0	10 months	
	Los Angeles	\$0	02/25/2007	
	PPNO: 07-3147	\$0 \$559	03/25/2007	
	U.S. 101 Bike lane gap closure	\$559	Support – meets STIP guidelines	
	other damage throughout the pr area and the City is currently de months to complete the project.	eavy rains in the area have resulted in flooding, roject area and surrounding locations. The Presidence of the early to recovering from this catastr. No additional costs are anticipated due to the dist. The project was originally included in the ST.	dent declared the entire area a major disaster ophe. The City requires an additional ten delay. The project STIP history does not	
10	City of Culver City	\$0	8 months	
	Los Angeles	\$60		
	PPNO: 07- <b>3153</b>	\$0 \$0	02/28/2006	
	Sepulveda Pedestrian Imprmts	\$60	Support – meets STIP guidelines	
	In November 2002, January and July 2003, and December 2004, the Redevelopment Agency (RA) conducted community-vision workshops to prepare a Sepulveda Boulevard Streetscape master plan (Plan). The Plan was to be a guide for designing the Sepulveda Boulevard Pedestrian and Commuter Improvements MTA Project. Since the workshops, the RA evaluated the Plan and is proceeding with its revision to incorporate the comments. The City has employed a firm to create a new conceptual plan for the project area. The plan is due March 2005, with project resumption thereafter. An eightmonth extension is respectfully requested.			

Project #	Applicant County	Extension Amount By Component (\$ in thousands)	Number of Months Requested	
	PPNO Project Description	<b>E&amp;P</b> (Environmental & Permits)	Extended Deadline	
		PS&E (Plans, Specifications and Estimates) R/W (Right of Way) CON (Construction)	CT Recommendation	
	Reason for Project Delay:	TOTAL		
11	City of Malibu	\$0	20 months	
	Los Angeles	\$0	02/20/2007	
	PPNO: 07-3412	\$0 \$563	02/28/2007	
	Cross Creek Road Pedestrian		Support – meets STIP guidelines	
	Initially, the City thought existing records could determine the street R/W for the project. However, the record search found conflicting information, and the City had to obtain title reports for every parcel along the route. Reviewing the documents and transferring the information to the survey map took additional time. The survey map was delivered 32 months after the originally scheduled delivery date. The City attempted to minimize the adverse scheduling effects by preparing the conceptual design and obtaining public comment while the R/W information was being analyzed. However, completion of the survey map found the conceptual plan inaccurate and had to be revised to fit within the actual R/W. Public comments were solicited and incorporated into the plan. The City Council approved the revised conceptual plan 33 months after approving the original plan. The City further minimized the delay's effects by proceeding with the hydrology study, drainage design, and landscape palette concurrent with the plan revision. Completion is expected February 28, 2007.			
12	County of Del Norte	\$0	20 months	
İ	Del Norte	\$35		
	DDNIG. 01 1021T	\$0 \$0	02/28/2007	
	PPNO: 01-1021T Hobbs Wall project	\$0 <b>\$35</b>	Support – meets STIP guidelines	
	Hobbs Wall environmental d	ly involved with the Elk Valley Road reconstructiving that time. A PS&E allocation extension is real for the project. Full attention can be directed to	requested for 12 months. It may take one year	
13	County of Lake	\$0	20 months	
	Lake	\$29	02/20/2007	
	PPNO: 01-3032R	\$0 \$0	02/28/2007	
	Construct bike lanes with	\$2 <b>9</b>	Support - meets STIP guidelines	
	signs This TE project is being done in conjunction with a STIP roadway reconstruction project. When the TE portion of the project was originally requested, the programmed years for the different components corresponded to that of the STIP portion. Due to budget constraints, the E&P programming for the STIP portion was delayed to FY 2005-06. Lake County has explored options for proceeding with the PS&E for the bikeway independent of the rehabilitation project; however, it is infeasible to proceed with the PS&E for the bikeway because the environmental review for it and the rehabilitation project must be done concurrently. A 20-month extension is requested to allow for further roadway project E&P allocation delays.			
14	County of Lake	\$0	20 months	
	Lake	\$26 \$0	02/28/2007	
	PPNO: 01-3033R	\$0 \$0	02,20,2001	
	Road rehab and bikeway	\$26	Support – meets STIP guidelines	
	This TE project is being done in conjunction with a STIP roadway reconstruction project. When the TE portion of the project was originally requested, the programmed years for the different components corresponded to that of the STIP portion. Due to budget constraints, the E&P programming for the STIP portion was delayed to FY 2005-06. Lake County has explored options for proceeding with the PS&E for the bikeway independent of the rehabilitation project; however, it is infeasible to proceed with the PS&E for the bikeway because the environmental review for it and the rehabilitation project must be done concurrently. A 20-month extension is requested to allow for further roadway project E&P allocation delays.			

Project #	Applicant	Extension Amount	Number of Months Requested		
	County	By Component (\$ in thousands) E&P (Environmental & Permits)	Extended Deadline		
	PPNO	<b>PS&amp;E</b> (Plans, Specifications and Estimates)			
	Project Description	R/W (Right of Way) CON (Construction)	CT Recommendation		
	D 6 D 1 (D)	TOTAL			
15	Reason for Project Delay:  County of Lake	\$0	12 months		
13	Lake	\$6	12 months		
		\$0	06/30/2006		
	PPNO: 01-3036A	\$0			
	Main Street Kelseyville	\$6	Support – meets STIP guidelines		
	Originally \$18,000 was programmed in the TE reserve in FY 2004-05 and \$12,000 was allocated for PPNO 3036A. The remaining \$6,000 would have been allocated for the same project but the Department inadvertently assigned the \$12,000 allocation to construction instead of E&P. The error was just corrected at the March CTC meeting. Lake County cannot proceed with environmental work on the project until E&P is authorized, and cannot allocate PS&E until CEQA clearance is obtained. Once authorization to proceed with E&P is obtained, work will begin on the environmental process. This is estimated to take about 12 months, and therefore we request that the funds be extended to June 30, 2006, to allow time for the environmental process to be completed.				
16	County of Sacramento	\$0	20 months		
10	Sacramento	\$0 \$0	20 months		
	Sucramento	\$0	02/28/2007		
	PPNO: 3-3L10	\$2,500			
	Folsom Boulevard	\$2,500	Support – meets STIP guidelines		
	would complete all phases o priorities within this project, master plan for Folsom Bou project priorities and jurisdie necessary to complete the pi the construction allocation v	ned prior to the City's incorporation. The original pf work including PS&E and construction. Since its, which will complete the initial phase of transportal levard, and the role of the City and County in imple ctional roles, along with the need for the City to secroject, has delayed completion of all project phases, will provide sufficient time for the City to complete the environmental review, final project design and according to the City to complete the environmental review, final project design and according to the City to complete the environmental review, final project design and according to the City to complete the environmental review, final project design and according to the City to complete the environmental review, final project design and according to the City to the City to complete the environmental review, final project design and according to the City	s incorporation, the City has reevaluated the tion enhancements set forth in the corridor ementing the project. The reevaluation of cure the resources and additional funding. The requested twenty month extension for the allocation of project resources, secure		
<del>17</del>	County of Sacramento	\$0 \$400	12 months		
Request Denied	Sacramento	<del>\$400</del> <del>\$0</del>	06/30/2006		
Dellieu	PPNO: 03-3L12	\$ <del>0</del>	00/30/2000		
	Watt Avenue Enhancements	* -	Support meets STIP guidelines		
	To revitalize the Watt Avenue corridor and complement the McClellan Air Force Base conversion, the County and its stakeholders have been developing and implementing sections of the Watt Avenue Beautification Master Plan (BMP). The availability of the STIP funding was delayed due to State Highway Account shortfalls, however, the County continued to advance the BMP with the support of other funding sources. Construction is underway. To focus available resources on the initial phase of improvements, the STIP TE funded improvements were delayed allowing the County to leverage the STIP TE funding and construct additional improvements from the BMP. This enabled enhancements to Watt Avenue ahead of schedule, realizing the benefits sooner than anticipated. With the initial phase under construction, the County is proceeding with the environmental review for the STIP TE funded improvements. An approved CEQA document is expected within 12 months. A PS&E allocation will be requested thereafter.				

Project #	Applicant	Extension Amount	Number of Months Requested	
,	County	By Component (\$ in thousands)	•	
		<b>E&amp;P</b> (Environmental & Permits)	Extended Deadline	
	PPNO	PS&E (Plans, Specifications and Estimates)		
	Project Description	R/W (Right of Way)	CT Recommendation	
	•	CON (Construction)		
		TOTAL		
	Reason for Project Delay:			
18	County of Shasta	\$0	2 months	
	Shasta	\$0		
		\$0	08/30/2005	
	PPNO: 02-2308	\$214		
	Replacement bridge	\$214	Support – meets STIP guidelines	
		o months due to insufficient federal obligational iginally programmed in the 2004 STIP. There have		
19	City of Madera	\$0	12 months	
	Madera	\$44		
		\$0	06/30/2006	
	PPNO: 06-A007	\$0		
	Bike/Pedestrian undercrossing	\$44	Support – meets STIP guidelines	
	The Request for Allocation for PS&E requires a CEQA/NEPA document. Additional environmental studies for the area with the river channel are necessary to complete this document.			
20	County of Sierra	\$0	18 months	
	Sierra	\$5		
R/W		<del>\$5</del>	12/31/2006	
Phase	PPNO: 03-3117	\$0		
Denied	Sierra Valley Visitor Center	<del>\$10</del> \$5	Support – meets STIP guidelines	
	Sierra County has experienced delays in the start of the PA&ED Phase and, as a result, the needed environmental studies will not be completed prior to the allocation deadline. The project delay is due to the coordination of the STIP funding and the recently awarded \$140,000 Roberti-Z'Berg-Harris grant. The grant is critical to completing the project. Also, the County lost its long-term transportation planner and STIP coordinator, who had critical knowledge of the project.			